| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------|---|---|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | an/ | 10/719,219 | PHAM ET AL. |
| | ar y | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Jessica L. Rossi | 1733 |
| All Participants: | | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Jessica L. Rossi</u> . | | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Mr. Shimokaji</u> . | | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 21 December 2004 | | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: | | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| Filor art documents discussed. | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH Discussed further species restriction within elected Gro transferring case to present examiner). Applicant requ | oup I (note | original examiner failed to do co | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | ation. The eparate r | e examiner will provide a writ ecord of the substance of the | ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A | Applicant | 'Applicant's Representative S | Signature – if appropriate) |